AB INITIO CALCULATIONS OF DIELS-ALDER TRANSITION STRUCTURES FOR HETERO-DIENOPHILE ADDITIONS TO CYCLOPENTADIENE

BRANKO S. JURSIC* AND ZORAN ZDRAVKOVSKI†

Department of Chemistry, University of New Orleans, New Orleans, Louisiana 70148, U.S.A.

Transition states for the hetero-Diels-Alder addition of formaldehyde, formaldimine, diazene and nitrosyl hydride to cyclopentadiene were located with *ab initio* molecular orbital calculations. Asynchronous transition structures were located for the asymmetric hetero-dienophiles, whereas the symmetrical hetero-dienophile, *cis*-diazene, produced a synchronous transition structure. The relative reactivities are in good agreement with the LUMO energies of the hetero-dienophiles, and the transition states with the *exo* oxygen or nitrogen lone pairs have lower energies than the corresponding *endo* lone electron pair transition states. *Endo/exo* energy differences are much higher than in the addition of ethylene derivatives to cyclopentadiene.

INTRODUCTION

The Diels–Alder reaction is one of the most widely used synthetic methods for the preparation of organic compounds.¹ The reaction is highly regio- and stereospecific and consequently very desirable intermediates can be obtained.² Diels–Alder reactions that incorporate the formation of carbon–heteroatom bonds, useful as intermediates in natural product synthesis, are of special interest.³

These reactions are generally assumed to be concerted, asynchronous cycloadditions, but a stepwise mechanism is also possible for polar dienophiles or for acid-catalyzed processes. Although the all-carbon Diels-Alder reactions have been studied extensively, 4^{-6} there are only a few reports on the theoretical study of the hetero-Diels-Alder reactions (recently we have performed ab initio studies of hetero-dienophile additions to furan and 1,3-oxadiazole).^{7,8} We have undertaken an extensive theoretical study of Diels-Alder reactions both with heteroatom-containing dienophiles and dienes; here we report our results on the non-aromatic all-carbon diene cyclopentadiene, which will be used as reference for a further study on some aromatic heterocyclic dienes (we have performed theoretical studies of the heterodienophile additions to aromatic hetero-cycles such as pyrrole,^{9a} oxazole,^{9b} pyrazole^{9c} and 1,4-dioxabuta-1,3-diene^{9d}). On the basis of the HOMO-LUMO interactions, energies of the reactants and the transition structures, the reactivity of the hetero-dienophiles towards cyclopentadiene will be discussed.

METHODS

Geometry optimization of the reactants prior to any ab initio calculations was performed with the PM3¹⁰ method in MOPAC.¹¹ The transition states (the transition structure has to have only one imaginary frequency and that has become the major criteria for confirming the transition structure; for a perspective of the transition-state theory, see Ref. 12) were also located by PM3.¹³ The structures of the reactants and the transition states obtained were then fully optimized at the RHF/3-21G theoretical level with the GAMESS¹⁴ ab initio program. Each transition state gave only one imaginary harmonic vibrational frequency, corresponding to the motion of the new C-C or C-X bonds.¹² The activation energies were estimated by single-point MP2/6-31G* calculations on the RHF/3-21G optimized geometries. One reason for choosing this model chemistry was that there are available theoretical studies at this level for other Diels-Alder reactions, thus making the comparison of

CCC 0894-3230/94/110641-05

© 1994 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Received 3 May 1994 Revised 7 July 1994

^{*} Author for correspondence.

[†] Permanent address: Institute of Chemistry, Cyril & Methodius University, Arhimedova 5, Skopje, Macedonia.

the results possible.¹⁵ Although the calculated activation energies at the MP2 level are probably underestimated by $ca \ 10 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \ (1 \text{ kcal} = 4 \cdot 184 \text{ kJ})$ compared with MP3 and available experimental data,⁶ the activation energies reflect accurately the relative reactivities of these dienophiles.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The transition states were located for the reactions of cyclopentadiene with formaldehyde, formaldimine, cisand trans-diazene and nitrosyl hydride. All reactions studied except the reaction with formaldehyde have two possible stereoisomers and both the endo and exo stereoisomers were considered. The transition-state structures are presented in Figure 1. All transition states are for the concerted mechanism. In the reaction of symmetrical dienophiles, symmetrical transition structures were obtained, but in all other cases asynchronous transition-state structures were generated. Thus, the transition state for ethylene addition to cyclopentadiene is symmetrical with a new forming C-C bond length of 2.205 Å.⁶ The transition state for the formaldehyde addition to cyclopentadiene can be considered an exception, since it is practically synchronous ($\Delta r = 0.04 \text{ Å}$) with a shorter new forming C-C bond than in the ethvlene addition (Figure 1). The transition state is more synchronous than in the case of formaldehyde addition buta-1,3-diene ($\Delta r = 0.135$ Å). The structural to characteristics of transition states 2 and 3 of formaldimine addition to cyclopentadiene are similar to that from the formaldehyde reaction. Here again the bond differences of the new forming bonds are smaller (for $1 \Delta r = 0.175$ Å and for $2 \Delta r = 0.086$ Å) than in the case of buta-1,3-diene ($\Delta r = 0.435$ and 0.284 Å respectively). The same kind of pattern was observed in the case of the concerted transition-state structure 4 of trans-diazene addition to cyclopentadiene. Again, 4 is more synchronous ($\Delta r = 0.123$ Å) than the corresponding transition state for the trans-diazene addition to buta-1,3-diene ($\Delta r = 0.168$ Å).⁷ However, the most surprising differences come from the endo and exo addition of cis-diazene to cyclopentadiene and buta-1,3-diene (for a discussion of asynchronicity of the transition structure of diazene addition to buta-1,3diene in relation to their energy calculated by the PM3 method, see Ref. 16). Both endo and exo transitionstate structures 5 and 6 are fully symmetrical, whereas the corresponding transition-state structures in the addition to buta-1,3-diene are unsymmetrical $(\Delta r = 0.129 \text{ Å} \text{ for the } exo \text{ and } 0.329 \text{ Å} \text{ for the } endo$ cis-diazene-buta-1,3-diene transition states).⁷ The two transition-state structures 7 and 8 for the concerted addition of nitrosyl hydride to cyclopentadiene are also more synchronous ($\Delta r = 0.149$ and 0.038 Å respectively) than the corresponding transition states with buta-1,3-diene ($\Delta r = 0.209$ and 0.080 Å, respectively).

It is conceivable that the symmetry of the transition structure has influence on the energy.¹⁶

The reactivity of the hetero-Diels-Alder reactions can be determined by various physical properties of the reactants. Frontier orbital theory¹⁷ can explain, to some degree, the reactivity of the reactants in the chemical reactions. The HOMO and LUMO energies are presented in Table 1. In terms of the frontier orbitals, the Diels-Alder reaction of cyclopentadiene appears to be controlled by the LUMO of the dienophile, hence the most reactive dienophile is the one with the lowest LUMO energy. In our case, the most reactive dienophile is nitrosyl hydride with an energy gap of only 0.39659 eV. The HOMO-LUMO energy gap cannot explain the stereoselectivity of the hetero-Diels-Alder addition with cyclopentadiene. That can be explained with the energy barrier of the reactions.

The calculated total energies for the reactants, the transition states and the energies with respect to the reactants for hetero-dienophile addition to cyclopentadiene are given in Tables 2 and 3.

According to the calculated MP2/6-31G*//RHF/ 3-21G energies, formaldehyde and formaldimine (exohydrogen addition) are less reactive than ethylene, even though they have lower LUMO energies. One factor that can explain the lower reactivity is the repulsive interaction of the oxygen or nitrogen lone pair with the filled π -orbital of cyclopentadiene, similar to the proposed π -acetylene π -butadiene repulsion in the Diels-Alder transition state.¹⁸ The transition states of formaldimine addition to cyclopentadiene 2 and 3 have different energies. Structure 2 with an endo N-M bond is predicted to have $4.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ lower activation energy than the diastereomer 3 with an exo N-H bond. This is considerably larger than was normally observed in Diels-Alder reactions with substituted ethylenes.¹⁹ As expected on the basis of LUMO orbital energies (Table 1), trans-diazene is more reactive than acetylene, formaldehyde or formaldimine (Table 2). The transition state reflects the above-discussed effect of the nitrogen lone-pair repulsion with the π occupied orbitals of cyclopentadiene. This can also explain why, although the LUMO of cis-diazene is higher than of trans-diazene, the activation energy is lower for the endo hydrogen cis-diazene addition to cyclopentadiene than the addition of trans-diazene. Actually, the transition-state structure with trans-diazene 4 has $2 \cdot 2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ lower energy than the transition state with cis-diazene 5, but because trans-diazene is 8.5 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable (the *trans* form of diazene is actually 6-7 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable²⁰) than the *cis* isomer (according to MP2/6-31G^{*} calculations), 4 has a 6.3 kcal mol⁻¹ higher activation barrier. Structures 5 and 6 represent stereoisomeric transition structures for the cis-diazene addition to buta-1,3-diene. As mentioned above, both structures are symmetrical. The ab



Figure 1. (a) Front and (b) side views of the transition-state structures for reaction of cyclopentadiene with hetero-dienophiles

Table 1. HOMO-LUMO energies (eV) of the reactants from a RHF/6-31G $^{*}//\mathrm{RHF}/3-21G$ calculation

Reactant	номо	LUMO	ΔE_1	ΔE_2
Cyclopentadiene	-0.3062	0.1449		
Formaldehyde	-0.43982	0.13196	0.58472	0.46937
Formaldimine	-0.41750	0.16317	0.5624	0.46937
cis-Diazene	-0.38110	0.14771	0.526	0.45391
trans-Diazene	-0.38664	0.14689	0.53154	0.45309
Nitrosyl hydride	-0.42710	0.09039	0.572	0.39659

 $\Delta E_1 = \text{LUMO}_{\text{diene}} - \text{HOMO}_{\text{dienophile}};$

 $\Delta E_2 = \text{LUMO}_{\text{dienophile}} - \text{HOMO}_{\text{diene}}$

initio calculations prefer by $11 \cdot 1 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ the formation of the product with *endo* N—H bonds. That can again be explained by the repulsive interactions between the two occupied π molecular orbitals of cyclopentadiene with the lone pairs of the nitrogen atoms of *cis*-diazene in transition state **6**. The two transition structures **7** and **8** of nitrosyl hydride addition to cyclopentadiene are almost synchronous and are predicted on the basis of LUMO energy of nitrosyl hydride to have lower activation barrier. The $7 \cdot 6$ kcal mol⁻¹ *endo* preference is again caused by $n-\pi$ repulsion in **8**. The energy difference is lower than in the case of *cis*-diazene because in both stereoisomers **7** and **8**, oxygen $n-\pi$

Species	RHF/3-21G	RHF/6-31G*//RHF/3-21G	MP2/6-31G*//RHF/3-21G	
Cyclopentadiene	-191.7170797	-192.7913754	-193.4227822	
Formaldehyde	-113.2218198	-113.8623871	-114.1676329	
Formaldimine	- 93 • 4947805	- 94·00256453	- 94·3129884	
trans-Diazene	-109.3547665	-109.9924702	-110.3083725	
cis-Diazene	-109.3423988	-109.978457	-110.2948632	
Nitrosyl hydride	-129.0382903	-129.7832474	-130.1235817	
1	- 304 · 8990447	- 306 • 5953157	- 307 • 5697376	
2	-285.1696252	- 286 • 7564498	$-287 \cdot 7207100$	
3	-285.1600599	-286.7490355	- 287·7134614	
4	- 301 • 0331997	- 302 • 7196663	- 303 • 7283985	
5	- 301 . 0294523	- 302 • 7156831	- 303 • 7249063	
6	- 301 . 0093517	- 302 • 6981437	- 303 • 7076965	
7	- 320 . 7365329	- 322 • 5269796	- 323 • 5541653	
8	- 320 • 7253835	- 322 • 5157307	- 323 • 5420616	

Table 2. Total energies (au) of reactants and transition states for the Diels-Alder reaction of cyclopentadiene with hetero-dienophiles

Table 3. Calculated activation energies (kcal mol^{-1}) for the Diels-Alder reaction of cyclopentadiene with hetero-dienophiles

Dienophile	TS	RHF/3-21G	RHF/6-31G*//RHF/3-21G	MP2/6-31G*//RHF/3-21G	
Ethylene		30·1 (0·0)°	39·2 (0·0) ^{a,d}	10.6 (0.0) ^{b,d}	
Formaldehyde	1	25.0 (-5.1)	36.7 (-2.5)	13.0 (2.4)	
Formaldimine, endo H	2	26.5(-3.5)	38.0 (-1.2)	9.4 (-1.2)	
Formaldimine, exo H	3	32.5 (2.4)	42.7 (3.5)	14.0 (3.4)	
trans-Diazene	4	$24 \cdot 2 \ (-5 \cdot 9)$	40.3 (1.1)	1.7 (-8.9)	
cis-Diazene, endo H	5	18.8 (-11.3)	34.0(-5.2)	-4.6(-15.2)	
cis-Diazene, exo H	6	31.4 (1.3)	45.0 (5.8)	$6 \cdot 2 (-4 \cdot 4)$	
Nitrosvl hydride, endo H	7	11.8(-18.3)	29.9 (-9.3)	-4.9(-15.5)	
Nitrosyl hydride, exo H	8	18.8 (-11.3)	36.9 (-2.7)	2.7 (-7.9)	

^a Data for RHF/6-31G//RHF/3-21G.

^b Data for MP2/6-31G*//RHF/6-31G*.

^c Ref. 6a.

^d Ref. 6b.

repulsions are present, whereas in in structure 5 these repulsions do not exist.

It should be noted that the negative activation energies calculated by $MP2/6-31G^*//RHF/3-21G$ for the *endo* hydrogen *cis*-diazene and nitrosyl hydride additions (Table 2) do not mean that the reactions are activationless, but rather reflect the fact that the MP2 transition state occurs earlier along the reaction coordinate than the RHF transition state.

There is experimental evidence for the high stereoselectivity predicted by these calculations. It has been shown that some chiral α -chloro nitroso compounds add highly enantioselectively in some Diel-Alder reactions.²¹

CONCLUSION

All levels of *ab initio* calculations employed have shown that the considered hetero-dienophiles, except

formaldehyde, react much more readily than substituted ethylene in Diels-Alder cyclizations with cyclopentadiene. The activation energies are lower and there is a large preference for the heteroatom lone pair to be kept away from the diene π -orbitals. This method should be very useful for the preparation of hetero bicyclic compounds with defined configuration at the newly formed chiral carbon centers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to Dr M. E. Grice for his friendly advice and helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

 A. Wasserman, Diels-Alder Reactions. Elsevier, New York (1965); W. R. Roush, Adv. Cycloaddit. 2, 91 (1990); J. N. Bennett, Chem. Rev. 80, 63 (1980); W. Oppolzer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 16, 10 (1977); P. Deslongchamps, Aldrichim. Acta 24, 43 (1991); D. Craig, Chem. Soc. Rev. 16, 187 (1987); M. S. Salakhov and S. A. Ismailov, Russ. Chem. Rev. 55, 1145 (1986); A. G. Fallis, Can. J. Chem. 62, 183 (1984); W. Carruthers, Cycloaddition Reactions in Organic Synthesis. Pergamon Press, New York (1990).

- J. Feuer, W. C. Herndon and L. H. Hall, Tetrahedron 24, 2575 (1968); R. Sustmann, Pure Appl. Chem. 40, 569 (1974); B. M. Trost, W. C. Valduchick and A. J. Bridges, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 3554 (1980); P. V. Alston, M. D. Gordon, R. M. Ottenbrite and T. Cohen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 48, 5051 (1983); S. D. Kahn, C. Pau, L. E. Overman and W. J. Hehre, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108, 7381 (1986); M. J. Taschner, Org. Synth: Theory Appl. 1, 1 (1989); G. Helmchen, R. Karge and J. Weetman, Mod. Synth. Methods 4, 261 (1986).
- D. L. Boger and S. N. Weinreb, Hetero Diels-Alder Methodology in Organic Synthesis. Academic Press, New York (1987); S. N. Weinreb and P. M. Scola, Chem. Rev. 89, 1525 (1989); T. Kametani and S. Hibino, Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 42, 245 (1987); D. L. Boger, Tetrahedron 39, 2869 (1983); S. N. Weinreb and R. R. Staib, Tetrahedron 38, 3087 (1982).
- For reviews, see J. Sauer and R. Sustmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 19, 779 (1980); K. N. Houk, Y. Li and J. D. Evanseck, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 31, 682 (1992); M. J. S. Dewar and J. Caoxian, Acc. Chem. Res. 25, 537 (1992).
- M. J. S. Dewar, S. Olivella and J. J. P. Stewart, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108, 5771 (1986); K. N. Houk and Y. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 7478 (1993).
- (a) K. H. Houk, R. J. Loncharich, J. F. Blake and W. L. Jorgensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111, 9172 (1989); (b) W. L. Jorgensen, D. Lim and J. F. Blake, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 2936 (1993).
- M. A. McCarrick, Y.-D. Wu and K. N. Houk, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 1499 (1992); M. A. McCarrick, Y.-D. Wu and K. N. Houk, J. Org. Chem. 58, 3330 (1993), and references cited therein; M. E. Tran Hau Dau, J.-P. Flament, J.-M. Lefour, C. Riche and D. S. Grerson, Tetrahedron Lett. 33, 2343 (1992).

- B. S. Jursic and Z. Zdravkovski, J. Org. Chem. 59, 3015 (1994).
- 9. (a) B. S. Jursic and Z. Zdravkovski, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) in press; (b) Perkin Trans. 2, 1877 (1994); (c) J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem), submitted for publication; (d) J. Org. Chem., in press.
- 10. J. J. P. Stewart, J. Comput. Chem. 10, 209 (1989).
- 11. MOPAC version 6.0 QCPE, 445 (1983).
- W. J. Albery, Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 28, 139; (1993); R.
 A. Marcus, Science 256, 1523 (1992); I. W. M. Smith, Nature (London) 358, 279 (1992).
- B. S. Jursic and Z. Zdravkovski, J. Mol. Struc. (Theochem) 303, 177 (1994); for more detail on the location of the transition states with semiempirical methods, see M. J. S. Dewar, E. F. Healy and J. J. P. Stewart, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 3, 227 (1984).
- 14. M. W. Schmidt, K. K. Baldridge, J. A. Boatz, S. T. Elbert, M. S. Gordon, J. H. Jensen, S. Koseki, N. Matsunga, K. A. Nguyen, S. J. Su, T. L. Windus, M. Dupuis and J. A. Montgomery, *GAMESS, Jul 17 1993 version*. Iowa State University (1993).
- D. Birney and K. N. Houk, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 4127 (1990).
- J. M. Coxon and D. Q. McDonald, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 33, 3673 (1992).
- K. Fukui and H. Fujimoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 40, 2018 (1967); 42, 2018 (1969); K. Fukui, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 21, 801 (1982).
- J. M. Coxon, S. T. Grice, R. G. A. R. Maclagan and D. Q. McDonald, J. Org. Chem. 55, 3804 (1990).
- J. Sauer and R. Sustmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 19, 779 (1980).
- 20. D. Witelegg and R. G. Woolly, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) 209, 23 (1990).
- M. Sabuni, G. Kresze and H. Braun, Tetrahedron Lett. 5377 (1984); H. Felber, G. Kresze, H. Braun and A. Vasella, Tetrahedron Lett. 5381 (1984); H. Felber, G. Kresze, R. Prewo and A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 69, 1137 (1986); O. Werbitzky, K. Klier and H. Felber, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 267 (1990).